

# TABOO OPINIONS #91

By Richard E. Geis

rerwingeis@cs.com

Copyright 2007 by Richard E. Geis

For Adults Only!

Quoting in whole or in part is encouraged

With a credit line, please.

## 2--19-07 Hope lunges eternal ...

Couple days ago the editor of that erotic-fiction-for-women e-publisher site (who had rejected The Blessing) emailed me to re-send The Blessing (12,000-word short story) for further consideration. Which I did. Stay tuned.

### **Barack Obama's signature**

is very revealing. I received his latest solicitation letter (full of idealism ad hope) in which he poses as the new messiah who will lead us into a new era of glory as the old, wonderful America is re-established.

Yada-yada-yada ....

I used to study handwriting analysis, and I've retained a few key signs to watch for. In signatures the more the letters lean forward, the more emotional is the person, and the more the letters lean backward, the more cold and calculating is the person. And the more ornate and 'designed' the signature, the more false is the person. Also, the size of the initial letters of the first and last names in contrast to the following letters is a sign of ego.

In Barack Obama I see a very large, distorted B, followed by very small, unreadable squiggle letters. The O of Obama is a bit smaller than the B, but is still much larger than the following squiggle letters. The O is part of a self-conscious, cute combo of O and b.

I conclude that this man is a clever, calculating liar. And I would never vote for him.

### **George Bush's signature**

(which I had to hunt for on the internet [he signed a photo which is for sale on eBay]) shows a large, artistic G followed by a squiggle, and a large, somewhat distorted B followed by a squiggle. So we have ego and little intellectual or physical interests (sex, money, appetites).

So where can I find examples of the signatures of other candidates?

## **The WARS, the WARS, the endless Goddamned WARS**

Looks like the forgotten war with or in Afghanistan is teaching us that the Taliban is coming back strong and will require more and more U.S. and European Union troops to match their build-up.

Thus a lesson Russia learned: the native 'insurgents' NEVER GIVE UP!

Now the Taliban have safe training and R&R bases in northern Pakistan *by arrangement with the government of our "ally" Pakistan.*

And the Taliban think in terms of multi-decades and endless resistance. They will wear us out, and pretty damn quickly.

Today I learned that several provinces of Afghanistan have been retaken by the Taliban. The government police abandoned their posts and ran away.

As to Iraq --- it's looking more and more that the new force policy in Baghdad is not working so well against just the Sunni insurgents. The Al Sadr Shiite insurgents have held back on resisting our renewed 'occupation' policy in Baghdad.

And al Quaida (remember them?) has just staged a bold daylight attack on an American outpost north of Baghdad. Result: 2 American soldiers killed, 17 wounded.

So it looks increasingly bad for us.

Now our leaders are all outraged that Iran is supplying the Iraq Shiite insurgents with some advanced low-level weapons and hinting we should attack Iran for that activity.

Gee, just like *we supplied* the Afghanistan Taliban resistance with Stinger anti-aircraft missiles when the USSR was occupying the country. But the USSR didn't bomb us for helping the Taliban kill USSR soldiers in Afghanistan.

And now the Iraqi insurgents are shooting down more and more of our helicopters. I suspect they are using effective, Stinger-like anti-aircraft missiles provided by ...whom? Russia? Iran?

I can see Russia playing a little 'get even' with us.

Significantly, the media and our politicians are not wondering why the insurgents are suddenly so effective against our helicopters. That silence suggests Russia is the supplier.

Call it a day.

**END TABOO OPINIONS #91**