

# TABOO OPINIONS #17

By Richard E. Geis

rerwingeis@cs.com

Copyright 2004 by Richard E. Geis

For Adults Only!

Quoting in whole or in part is encouraged

With a credit line, please.

**7-30-04** It is said that 3 suitcase nukes could destroy the world--- if placed at The City in London, at the Capitol in Washington, DC, and the Federal Reserve Bank in New York. The international money system would crash and the world would sink rapidly into Medieval-level civilization.

And it is said that Al Qaeda has at least three such nukes (purchased from the USSR collapse crime czars) in position, waiting...

Waiting to be triggered if Osama is captured or killed by Americans or American agents.

Thus---Osama 'escapes' traps and is 'unable to be found'. Better to let him die of natural causes.

And, hey, if Saddam had REALLY had weapons of mass destruction, Bush would not have dared invade Iraq. Bush invaded because he knew in advance the wmd's were non-existent.

Think the above is absurd? Observe the tender treatment we give North Korea. We KNOW they have a few nukes and we are NOT bombing and invading. On the other hand, I'd bet we have told them that if they sell nukes to the 'terrorists' and we discover that fact--North Korea will become an instant radioactive parking lot.

Another Taboo Opinion? Hope to hell Iran does develop nukes, because Iran's rulers would impose peace on Iraq, ruthlessly quell Al Qaeda in self-defense, and pressure Israel to give the Palestinians a fair shake.

As far as I'm concerned, if Israel retires behind its Great Wall and stays there, the area might have a kind of truce for a few hundred years.

END TABOO OPINIONS #17