## **TABOO OPINIONS #106**

# By Richard E. Geis

rerwingeis@q.com
Copyright 2008 by Richard E. Geis
Adults Only
Quoting in whole or in part is encouraged,
with a credit line, please.

#### 4-28-08 Where Have All the Children Gone?

Isn't it curious that the media which is outraged when a child in school has duct tape put on his mouth to prevent impulsive, irresponsible talking in class, is silent when the state of Texas breaks up a fundamentalist, male-ruled Mormon 'cult' church and spreads more than 400 of the under-age-12 children of the members of that church into foster homes in surrounding counties.

The excuse used by the state is the prevention of possible child sexual abuse by male members of the church, that is, the possible marriage of underage teenage girls to 'older' men.

But I suspect there is a strong element of rabid feminism at work here with a Liberal secular hatred of strict patriarchal religious authority.

Breaking up hundreds of families and traumatizing their 400+children doesn't count when the end justifies the means.

I suggest that this ripping apart of families, sending the children up to hundreds of miles away, forcing these children to live radically different lives in an alien culture, exposing these children to environments they have been taught are un-Godly, corrupt, degrading, horrible (TV/cable, public school and the mockery of public school students, drugs, secular pressures and likely sexual temptations, exposures and assaults) is in itself child abuse more damaging and extensive than anything they'd face on their isolated church ranch and in those carefully regulated church schools.

I expect we'll hear horror stories about these children in due time, and the state of Texas will likely suffer huge costs when the church's and the children's lawyers' appeals reach the federal courts.

#### **Clinton's Threat**

Hilary Clinton's promise to 'obliterate' Iran by nuclear means as president if Iran attacks Israel is little understood. She specifically conditioned the retaliation on a nuclear attack on Israel.

First, Iran must attack Israel with a nuclear weapon. THEN she would 'obliterate' Iran in retaliation.

In the first place, Iran has never said it wants to kill millions of Israel Jews. It wants the Israel nation/government to cease to exist and for the Palestinians to have their lands returned to them.

That's a huge difference never made clear to the American people by the American news media.

And Hilary's tough promise is far less than it seems, since Iran is highly unlikely to use nukes against Israel (even if it builds them or acquires them) precisely because of America's 'special relationship' with Israel as Israel's protector. They know any American President would nuke Iran and kill multi-millions of Iranians instantly if Iran nuked Israel.

But an Iran-with-nukes is a checkmate on our plans for the region and on possible Israeli plans to further take more lands from Palestinians in the West Bank.

Our current saber-rattling, anti-Iran threats are possible precursors to preemptive tactical nuke bombing of Iran's deep nuclear research and development facilities.

Clinton, a practical quasi-socialist woman, might agree to such preemptive strikes. But idealistic, even-handed, quasi-socialist Obama might not. I suspect that difference will spell eventual doom to Obama's run for the presidency. Our real rulers don't like to take chances, if they can help it.

Thus we may be seeing now the decline and fall of Obama's fortunes in the primaries. And if Obama gets the Demo nomination, we will see a down and dirty smear campaign in the Fall, with McCain winning.

## 4-30-08 More on the Siege of the Green Zone

It was reported a few days ago that more than 700 missiles and mortar rounds in the past 30 days were fired by Shiite insurgents into the Green Zone (that fortified enclave in Baghdad occupied by the American authorities and by our kept Iraqi government), which is an embarrassment to the American government there and here. There have been more than a few of 'our' people killed and a lot of expensive damage to buildings.

I suggest that our 'surge' into the 'insurgent' al Sadr areas of Baghdad is as much an attempt to prevent such Green Zone barrages as an attempt to defeat those religious militias. Most of those we kill with our house-demolishing armed helicopters and armed drones are civilians. Thus we create more opposition and lust for revenge. Clearly a winning tactic, umm?

Apparently we are failing in all objectives. I expect the siege of the Green Zone to continue and intensify and that the Bush administration will flail around with excuses and accusations against Iran.

Stay tuned. My previous speculation that failure in Iraq will provide an excuse/rationale for an attack on Iran is still in play.

### **END TABOO OPINIONS #106**